

Declassified E.O. 12356 Section 3.3/NND No.

785015

ACC

10000/100/129

Declassified E.O. 12356 Section 3.3/NND No.

785015

10000/100/129

EFFICIENCY REPORTS
JAN. - JULY 1944

24

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEAD HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
APO 394

CONFIDENTIAL

File no.
201.61

3 July 1944

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports.

TO : Colonel Leonard D. Densmore, Executive Officer, Headquarters,
Allied Control Commission, APO #394, U.S. Army.

1. a. Inclosed herewith are completed efficiency reports for the following field grade officers and efficiency reports for company grade officers and warrant officers.

FIELD OFFICER

Lt Col STEPHEN A. CRUMP
Lt Col ROBERT A. PERSELL
Major ELIOT J. BOCCINO

COMPANY GRADE OFFICER - WARRANT OFFICER

Captain FRANK J. SLAUGHTER
CWO ROBERT J. CHIOCCA

b. These are submitted for (1) inclusion of date in paragraph 2 of efficiency reports of the field officers and on Appendix I of the company grade officer and warrant officer; (2) signature on efficiency reports on field grade officer; and (3) signature and initialing (where applicable) on efficiency reports of company grade and warrant officer.

2. Also inclosed herewith are completed efficiency reports signed by Major E. J. Boccino on the following:

Captain R. L. VOLSTAD
Lt C. A. BONDIARENS
CWO LIVIO VLAHAKO

3. If these reports meet with your approval it is requested that they be forwarded directly to the CO, 267th Regt. since they must be in Regimental Headquarters by 10 July 1944.

4. I am also returning herewith the letter of instructions addressed to you with inclosures thereto and subsequent letters on the same subject received at this headquarters.

E. J. CHIOCCA
CWO, USA
Adjutant

2 Incls.
3 - Efficiency Reports
1 - Letter of Instructions and
pertinent inclosures thereto

2919

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CONFIDENTIAL

785015

REAR HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
APO 394

File No.
201.61

30 June 1944

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports.

TO : Colonel Leonard D. Densmore, Executive Officer, Headquarters,
Allied Control Commission, APO 394.

1. Inclosed are re-drafts of Efficiency Reports on Lt Col Stephen A. Crump and Lt Col Robert A. Persell, necessitated by their departure from this headquarters, which are re-submitted for your recommendations and approval.

- a. Lt Col Stephen A. Crump left this headquarters on 9 June 1944, thereby necessitating a change as shown in (1) paragraph E of Efficiency Report and (2) paragraph P.
- b. Lt Col Robert A. Persell left this headquarters for Adv HQ, ACC, 27 June 1944. I do not think a change should be made in paragraph E, since there remained only 3 days in the month of June during which his duty and manner of performance are unknown. However, in paragraph N, the second sentence should be re-written to read as such: "He was promoted on 17 June 1944, having shown his fitness and ability."

2. When the Efficiency Reports for the two above mentioned Officers are completed, they will be returned to you for signature together with Efficiency Report on Major Eliot J. Bocchino and Letter Reports on Captain Frank J. Slaughter and myself. Major Bocchino has already signed the Reports on Captain Roy L. Volstad, 1st Lt Cairus A. Bongarzone and CWO Livio Viarengo.

2 - Inclo

Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Crump
Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Persell

E. J. Chiocca
E. J. CHIOCCA
CWO, USA
Adjutant

*Changes suggested by you
OK TDA*

2918

U. S. CONFIDENTIAL
Equals British CONFIDENTIAL

REAR HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
APO 394

File No.
201.61

30 June 1944

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports.

TO : Colonel Leonard D. Denamore, Executive Officer, Headquarters,
Allied Control Commission, APO 394.

1. Inclosed are re-drafts of Efficiency Reports on Lt Col Stephen A. Grump and Lt Col Robert A. Persell, necessitated by their departure from this headquarters, which are re-submitted for your recommendations and approval.

- a. Lt Col Stephen A. Grump left this headquarters on 9 June 1944, thereby necessitating a change as shown in (1) paragraph E of Efficiency Report and (2) paragraph E.
- b. Lt Col Robert A. Persell left this headquarters for Adv HQ, AOC, 27 June 1944. I do not think a change should be made in paragraph E, since there remained only 3 days in the month of June during which his duty and manner of performance are unknown. However, in paragraph E, the second sentence should be re-written to read as such: "He was promoted on 17 June 1944, having shown his fitness and ability."

2. When the Efficiency Reports for the two above mentioned officers are completed, they will be returned to you for signature together with Efficiency Report on Major Eliot J. Boechino and Letter Reports on Captain Frank J. Slaughter and myself. Major Boechino has already signed the Reports on Captain Roy L. Volsted, 1st Lt Cairus A. Bongarzoni and CWO Livio Viarengo.

2 - Inclos

Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Grump
Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Persell

E. J. CHIOCCA
CWO, USA
Adjutant

2917

785015

REAR HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
APO 394

File No.
201.61

30 June 1944

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports.

TO : Colonel Leonard D. Denmore, Executive Officer, Headquarters,
Allied Control Commission, APO 394.

1. Inclosed are re-drafts of Efficiency Reports on Lt Col Stephen A. Grump and Lt Col Robert A. Persell, necessitated by their departure from this headquarters, which are re-submitted for your recommendations and approval.

a. Lt Col Stephen A. Grump left this headquarters on 9 June 1944, thereby necessitating a change as shown in (1) paragraph B of Efficiency Report and (2) paragraph E.

b. Lt Col Robert A. Persell left this headquarters for Adv HQ, APO, 27 June 1944. I do not think a change should be made in paragraph E, since there remained only 3 days in the month of June during which his duty and number of performances are unknown. However, in paragraph E, the second sentence should be re-written to read as such, "He was promoted on 17 June 1944, having shown his fitness and ability."

2. When the Efficiency Reports for the two above mentioned officers are completed, they will be returned to you for signature together with Efficiency Report on Major Elliot J. Boechino and Letter Reports on Captain Frank J. Slaughter and myself. Major Boechino has already signed the Reports on Captain Roy L. Volsted, 1st Lt Cairus A. Bongarzoni and CWO Livio Viarengo.

2 - Inclos

Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Grump
Draft Efficiency Report on Lt Col Persell

H. J. CHIOCCA
CWO, USA
Adjutant

2915

DRAFT

(SEE PAGE 650-100)

2675th Regt. ADC
Det Hq & Hq Co.

10. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS. KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT

These are hydrophobic which
is easily washed off. It
will be desirable.

Months	Manner of performance
1-7/30	Excellent (66-1)
4-23/30	Superior

Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

Year	1961
Month	June
Day	15
Time	10:00 AM
Location	Room 101

				291	x
--	--	--	--	-----	---

Name of officer reported on

Name of reporting officer

- I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?
- J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

- K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?
- L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No

- M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

- N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. Superior Officer from a military rather than specialist stand point. I would like very much to have this officer as my Ex O in any unit I might command. He was promoted during May 44 ~~from~~ ~~collected work in~~ ~~company~~. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

- O. How well do you know him? Well
- P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

- Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted? No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

- S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)

(Name typed)

(Grade and Org)

(Comdg. what?)

(Place)

(Date)

LEONARD D. DENSMORE

Colonel, ~~3rd~~ FA

Ex O, Rear Hq, Allied Control Commission

Salerno, Italy

30 June 1944

Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

Having demonstrated his fitness & ability

(CSEP AM 050 = 113)

2675th Regt, ADC
Lt Col Det Hq & Hq Co

STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED Sicily and Italy

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY. Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard — passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Examples: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Ad. prepared training schedules, Supply Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER « MANNER OF PERFORMANCE » ARE BASED ON —
INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

XX

(Line not underlined in words
in upper (y) and/or (x), y, or
x, according to abbreviations)

Only	Months	Manner of performance
Administrative Officer	6	Excellent

F. What degree of success has he attained under the following headings: (ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. See par. D above.)

6. Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show job and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

1. Handling objects and men		X
2. Performance of field duties	X	
3. Administrative and executive duties	X	
4. As an instructor		X
5. Training troops		X
6. Tactical handling of troops (units appropriate to officer's grade)		X

11. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)	Excellent	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Fair	Satisfactory	Excellent
1. Physical activity (agility; ability to work rapidly).						
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion).						
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor; neat and smart appearance).						
4. Attention to duty (the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously).						
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, in attaining a designated objective).						
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders).						
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions).						
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which an examination is believed reasonable, right or duty).						
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions).						
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, inspire, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale).						

Name of officer reported on PERSALL, ROBERT A. Name of reporting officer DENSMORE, LEONARD D.

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?

J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?

L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No
(See par. 1b, AR 600-185.)

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. I consider Major Persall to be a First Class, well trained officer with a good Military and Administrative background. He was promoted on 17 June 44, having shown his fitness and ability. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

O. How well do you know him? Well

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?
No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)	LEONARD D. DENSMORE
(Name typed)	Colonel, FA
(Grade and Org)	Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission
(Comdg. what?)	Salerno, Italy
(Place)	30 June 1944
(Date)	Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

(SEE AD 400-185)

AEC

A OFFICER REPORTED UPON CRUMP, STEPHEN A. Spec-Res, O-539076, Lt Col. RM, 2675th Regt

A's official status with respect to you Liaison Officer to Ministry of Industry, Commerce & Labor ACC
 Period covered by this report 6 months, from 1 January 1944 to 30 June 1944

PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT
STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED Italy

9. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS. KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY—Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard—possibly efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY and commendable.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Example: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules, Supply Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Rehabilitating Italian Cotton Industry	1	Excellent
Liaison officer to Ministry of Industry, Comm, and Labor, ACC	4-9/30	Excellent
	21/30	Unknown

F. What degree of success has (has he) attained under the following headings: ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT (See part D above):

4. Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value to the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

1. Handling officers and men	X	X
2. Performance of field duties	X	
3. Administrative and executive duties		X
4. As an instructor		X
5. Training troops		
6. Tactical handling of troops (units as appropriate to officer's grade)		X

11. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

appropriate to officer's grade)		X					
11. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. 10 above.)		Excellent	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Fair	Unsatisfactory	Unfavorable
1. Physical activity (agility; ability to work rapidly)							
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)							
3. Military bearing and manner (dignity of demeanor; neat and smart appearance)							
4. Attention to duty (the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously)							
5. Cooperation (acting kindly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)							
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)							
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)							
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which on examination is believed reasonable, right, or duty)							
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)							
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)						X	

Name of officer reported on CRUMP, STEPHEN A. Name of reporting officer DENSMORE, LEONARD D.

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?

J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — (which adversely affect his efficiency)? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?

L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? **No**

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? **No** If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. This officer is a specialist in the Industry & Commerce field, performing special duties. In comparing this officer with all officers performing duties as a specialist of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

O. How well do you know him? **Well**

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185) This officer was performing duties commensurate with his grade at the time of his transfer from this headquarters on 9 June 1944.

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated herein brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? **No** If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted? **No unfavorable entries.**

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made herein are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)	LEONARD D. DENSMORE
(Name typed)	Colonel, FA
(Grade and Org)	Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission
(Comdg. what?)	Salerno, Italy
(Place)	30 June 1944
(Date)	Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

785015

USE TYPEWRITER IF POSSIBLE.
IF BY HAND, PRINT PROPER NAMES

EFFICIENCY REPORT

(SEE AR 600-103)

A. OFFICER REPORTED UPON

PERSELL, ROBERT A. Inf. 0311009

2675th Regt, ACC

Major Det Hq & Hq Co

B. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT Six months, from 1 January 44 to 30 June 44C. STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED Sicily and Italy

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS. KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard — passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Example: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules. Supply Officer. In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON —

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

~~XX~~~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

(Use only appropriate words or words in which you are making a statement.)

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Administrative Officer	6	Excellent

F. What degree of success has he attained under the following headings: ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. (See par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Handling officers and men					X	
2. Performance of field duties				X		
3. Administrative and executive duties				X		
4. As an instructor						X
5. Training troops						X
6. Tactical handling of troops (only appropriate to officer's grade)						X

G. Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value to the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Physical activity (agility; ability to work readily)						
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)						
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor; neat and smart appearance)						
4. Attention to duty (the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously)						
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and efficiently with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)						
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)						
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily how ideas or instructions)						
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which on examination is believed reasonable, right, or duty)						
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)						
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)						X

Name of officer reported on

Name of reporting officer

- J. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?
- K. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

L. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?

M. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? **No**
(See par. 1b, AR 600-185.)

N. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? **No** If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

O. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. I consider Major Persell to be a **First Class, well trained officer with a good Military and Administrative background. ~~He has been recommended for promotion to the next grade.~~** In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

P. How well do you know him? **Well**

Q. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

R. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated herein brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? **No** If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?

No unfavorable entries

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

S. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE.

T. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made herein are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)

(Name typed)

(Grade and Org)

(Comdg. what?)

(Place)

(Date)

LEONARD D. DENSMORE

Colonel, ~~1st~~ FA

Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission

Salerno, Italy

30 June 1944

Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

He was promoted on 17 June 1944 - having shown his fitness

785015

(USE TYPEWRITER IF POSSIBLE.
IF OF, PRINT PROPER NAMES)

EFFICIENCY REPORT

(SEE AR 600-109)

2675th Regt. ACC.

A. OFFICER REPORTED UPON BOCCHIRO, ELIOT J., Inf, O-377876, Major, Det Hq & Hq Co.

B. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT 6 months, from 1 January 1944 to 30 June 1944

C. STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS, KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard — passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Example: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules. Supply Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON —

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

(Line out inappropriate words or amplify under par. F, according to circumstances.)

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Hq Comdt, Hq ACC & AMM	1-7/30	Excellent (66-1)
Hq Comdt, Rear Hq, ACC - CO, Det Hq & Hq Co, 2675 Regt. ACC	4-23/30	Superior

F. What degree of success has he attained under the following headings: ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT (See par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Handling officers and men					X	
2. Performance of field duties					X	
3. Administrative and executive duties				X		
4. As an instructor					X	
5. Training troops					X	
6. Tactical handling of troops (units appropriate to officer's grade)						X

G. Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Physical activity (agility, ability to work rapidly)						
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)						
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor, neat and smart appearance)						
4. Attention to duty (the habit of working thoroughly and conscientiously)						
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)						
6. Initiative (the habit of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)						
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)						
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which on examination is believed reasonable, right, or duty)						
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)						
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)					X	

2912

785015

Name of officer reported on BOCCHINO, ELIOT J. Name of reporting officer DEENMORE, LEONARD D.

1. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?
2. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)
3. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?
4. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No
(See par. 1b, AR 600-185.)
5. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.
6. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. Superior Officer from a military rather than specialist stand point. I would like very much to have this Officer as my Ex O in any unit I might command. He was promoted 7 May 44, having demonstrated his fitness and ability. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him well up among the upper third.
7. How well do you know him? Well
8. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

9. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?
No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

- S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

Signed	LEONARD D. DEENMORE
(Name typed)	Colonel, FA
Grade and Org.	Ex O, Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission
Comdg. what?	Salerno, Italy
Place	Incls. <u>None</u>
Date	

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

(SFB AM 400-109)

2675th Hq. AOC.

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS, KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

Use not inappropriate words
or amplify under point. P. de
ciding to do something.

F. What degree of success has he attained under the following heading: ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. (See page 1 above.)	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Outstanding	G. Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

1. Physical activity (agility; ability to work rapidly)					
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)					
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor; neat and smart appearance)					
4. Attention to duty (the habit of working thoroughly and conscientiously)					
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)					
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)					
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)					
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which on examination is believed reasonable, right, or duty)					
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)					
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)					

785015

Name of officer reported on BOCCINO, ELIOT J. Name of reporting officer LEONARD D. DENSMORE

- I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge? No
- J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? No
If yes, describe them (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)
- K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter? No
- L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No
(See par. 15, AR 600-185.)
- M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.
- N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words Superior Officer from a military rather than specialist stand point. I would like very much to have this officer as my Ex O in any unit I might command. He was promoted 7 May 44, having demonstrated his fitness and ability. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him well up among the upper third.
- O. How well do you know him? Well
- P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185) This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

- Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted? No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

- S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

Signed
(Name typed)
Grade and Org
(Comdg. what?)
(Place)
(Date)

LEONARD D. DENSMORE
Colonel, RA
Ex O, Rear Hq, Allied Control Commission
Salerno, Italy

Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

DRAFT

EFFICIENCY REPORT

(SEE AR 600-10)

USE TYPEWRITER IF POSSIBLE.
IF OT, PRINT PROPER NAMES

ACC

A. OFFICER REPORTED UPON **CRUMP, STEPHEN A.** Spec-Res, 0-539076 Lt Col, Inf, 2675th Regt
 A's official status with respect to you **Liaison Officer to Ministry of Industry, Comm & Labor ACC**
 B. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT **6** months, from **1 January 44** to **30 June 44**
 C. STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED **Italy**

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS. KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING. TAKING INTO CON-
 sideration his length of service and the opportunities afforded him which might have
 a bearing upon his performance of duty, personal characteristics, or professional
 qualifications.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional
 qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional
 qualifications up to minimum standard — passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal
 characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal charac-
 teristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal charac-
 teristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the
 period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance
 of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Example: Co.
 Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules. Supply
 Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and
 consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OP-
 INIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON
 INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

~~XX~~
~~XX~~

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Rehabilitating Italian Cotton Industry	1	Excellent
Liaison Officer to Ministry of Industry, Comm, and Labor, ACC	4/9/44 2/30	Excellent Unknown

F. What degree of success has he attained under the following head-
 ings: ENTRIES BASED ON PER-
 SONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFI-
 CIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD
 COVERED BY THIS REPORT (See
 par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Handling officers and men				X		
2. Performance of field duties						X
3. Administrative and executive duties				X		
4. As an instructor						X
5. Training troops						X
6. Tactical handling of troops (units ap- propriate to officer's grade)						X

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in com-
 parison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the
 appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Very satisfactory	Excellent	Superior	Unknown
1. Physical activity (agility; ability to work rapidly)						
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)						
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor; neat and smart appearance)						
4. Attention to duty (the habit of working thoroughly and conscientiously)						
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)						
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)						
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)						
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which on examination is believed reasonable, right, or duty)						
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)						
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)						

2910 X

Name of officer reported on CRUMP, STEPHEN A. Name of reporting officer DENSMORE, LEONARD D.

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?

J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter? **No**

L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? **No** (See par. 16, AR 600-185.)

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? **No** If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. This officer is a specialist in the Industry & Commerce field, performing special duties. In comparing this officer with all officers performing ~~special~~ duties of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

O. How well do you know him? **Well**

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade at the time of his transfer from this Headquarters on 6 June 44. *as a specialist was*

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? **No** If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted? **No unfavorable entries.**

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE.

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)
(Name typed)
(Grade and Org.)
(Comdg. what?)
(Place)
(Date)

LEONARD D. DENSMORE
Colonel, FA
Ex O, Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission
Salerno, Italy
30 June 1944
Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

(Still AM 6:50 AM)

W. D. A. G. O. Form. No. 87 - July 1, 1946
 Redmond Is. Hq. - A.G. Jan. 1944

785015

ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES

Name of officer reported on **CRUMP, STEPHEN A.** Name of reporting officer **DEESLORE, LEONARD D.**

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?
 J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?
 L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? **No**
 (See par. 1b, AR 600-185.)

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? **No** If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. **This officer is a specialist in the Industry & Commerce field, performing special duties. In comparing this officer with all officers performing duties as a specialist of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.**

O. How well do you know him? **Well**

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). **This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.**

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? **No** If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?
No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE.

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

Signed	
(Name typed)	LEONARD D. DEESLORE
(Grade and Org)	Colonel, FA
(Comdg. what?)	Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission
(Place)	Salerno, Italy
(Date)	30 June 1944
	Initials None

1st ENDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

(See AD 630-107)

南 2675 站

A. OFFICER REPORTED 1100N CRUMP, STEPHEN A., Spec-Res, 0-539076, Lt Colonel, MI 26/150

A's official status with respect to you **Liaison Officer to Ministry of Industry, Comm & Labour**
1 January 1944 to 30 June 1944

D. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT

C. STATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED

10. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS. KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING. PERSONAL CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon of personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard—inefficient.

SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard—passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in an efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT. Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

SUPERIOR: Outstanding and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered **EXCELLENT**.

1. **UNKNOWN**: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance

E. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Examples: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary coast. 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules, Supply Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT

REFERENCES

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Rehabilitating Italian Cotton Industry	1	Excellent
Liaison Officer to Ministry of Industry, Comm, and Labor. ACC	5	Excellent

F. What degree of attention has this been attained under the following headings? **ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT** (See par. D above.)

Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show paid and/or observed ratings of Air Corps officers here.

1. Handling officers and men	X	X
2. Performance of field duties	X	X
3. Administrative and executive duties		X
4. As an instructor		X
5. Training troops		X
6. Tactical handling of troops (units appropriate to officer's grade)		X

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

	Excellent	Very satisfactory	Satisfactory	Not satisfactory	Very poor	Unusable
11. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)						
1. Physical activity (ability to work rapidly)						
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)						
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor, neat and smart appearance)						
4. Attention to duty (the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously)						
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)						
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)						
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)						
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which one recognizes as being reasonable, right, or duty)						
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)						
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)						

785015

ARMY OF THE UNITED STATES

Name of officer reported on **CHAMP, STEPHEN A.** Name of reporting officer **DENSMORE, LEONARD D.**

- I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?
- J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)
- K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?
- L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? **No**
(See par. 1B, AR 600-185.)
- M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? **No** If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.
- N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. **This officer is a specialist in the Industry & Commerce field, performing special duties. In comparing this officer with all officers performing duties as a specialist of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.**

O. How well do you know him? **Well**P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). **This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.**

- Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? **No** If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?
No unfavorable entries.

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE.

- S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)

(Name typed)

(Grade and Org)

(Comdg. what?)

(Place)

(Date)

LEONARD D. DENSMORE**Colonel, FA****Ex O, Rear HQ, Allied Control Commission****Salerno, Italy****30 June 1944**Incl. **None**

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

(SEE AD 000-103)

ACC

A's official status with respect to you: Senior American Officer, Admin Section, Hq, ACC.
B. PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT: 6 months, from 1 January 1944 to 30 June 1944
C. LOCATIONS AT WHICH HE SERVED: Sicily and Italy

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS, KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications below minimum standard — inefficient.

SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon or personal characteristics or professional qualifications up to minimum standard — passably efficient.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

VERY SATISFACTORY: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that acceptable as SATISFACTORY.

EXCELLENT: Performance of the particular duty reported upon in a very efficient manner. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.

EXCELLENT: Performance of the particular duty reported upon is VERY SATISFACTORY but below SUPERIOR.
 teristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.
 SUPERIOR: Out-standing and exceptional performance of the particular duty reported upon. Personal characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.
 teristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance, character, or professional qualifications.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer is unable to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance of the particular duty, his personal characteristics, or professional qualifications.

F. DUTIES HE PERFORMED: (State separately. Where possible show duration of each in months. Example: Co. Comdr. ordinary garrison training, 8 mos. Summary court, 6 mos. Brig. Adj. prepared training schedules. Supply Officer.) In describing the manner of performance of duty, use one of six classifications as given under D, and consider carefully the obstacles encountered by the individual in the performance of each duty listed. THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED UNDER "MANNER OF PERFORMANCE" ARE BASED ON —

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

(Line out inappropriate words or amplify under par. F, as indicated by circumstances.)

STUNNED BY REVEREND KING'S INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT

STIONS EXPRESSED
INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

(Line out inappropriate words
or amplify under par. 11, ac-
cording to circumstances.)

Duty	Months	Manner of performance
Administrative Officer	6	Excellent

1. What degree of success has been attained under the following heading in g's: **ENTRIES BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT** (See par. D above.)

par.	Duties			X
1.	Handling officers and men		X	
2.	Performance of field duties		X	
3.	Administrative and executive duties			X
4.	As an instructor			X
5.	Training troops			
6.	Tactical handling of troops (units up appropriate to officer's grade)			X

Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

H. To what degree has he exhibited the following qualifications? Consider him in comparison with others of his grade and indicate your estimate by marking X in the appropriate rectangle. (See par. D above.)

[illegible]

785015

Name of officer reported on PERSELL, ROBERT A. Name of reporting officer DEEMORE, LEONARD D.

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?

J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them. (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?

L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. I consider Major Persell to be a First Class, well trained Officer with a good Military and Administrative background. He has been recommended for promotion to the next grade. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

O. How well do you know him? Well

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted? No unfavorable entries

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)

(Name typed)

(Grade and Org.)

(Comdg. what?)

(Place)

(Date)

LEONARD D. DEEMORE

Colonel, FA

Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission

Salerno, Italy

30 June 1944

Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

[SEE AIR 600-109]

ACC

D. CONSIDER CAREFULLY THESE DEFINITIONS, KEEP THEM IN MIND WHEN RATING, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION HIS LENGTH OF SERVICE AND THE OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED HIM, WHICH MIGHT HAVE A BEARING UPON HIS PERFORMANCE OF DUTY, PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS, OR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS.

UNKNOWN: To be used in all cases in which the reporting officer has had insufficient opportunity during the period covered by this report to observe the officer reported upon to permit a rating as to the performance characteristics, professional qualifications, or efficiency above that considered EXCELLENT.

INTIMATE DAILY CONTACT.

Using not inappropriate words
or amplify under par. 1. as
according to circumstances.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Administrative Officer

Unsatisfactory
Satisfactory
Very satisfactory
Excellent
Superior
Outstanding

Enter on lines below any outstanding specialties of value in the military service. MAKE NO ENTRIES EXCEPT WHERE STATEMENT IS BASED ON PERSONAL OBSERVATION OR OFFICIAL REPORTS DURING PERIOD COVERED BY THIS REPORT. Show pilot and/or observer ratings of Air Corps officers here.

- | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | X | A | | | X | X |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|

Classification	Material	Year	Reference	Signature	Remarks

1. Physical activity (ability to work rapidly)
2. Physical endurance (capacity for prolonged exertion)
3. Military bearing and neatness (dignity of demeanor - neat and smart appearance)
4. Attention to duty (the habit of working thoroughly and conscientiously)
5. Cooperation (acting jointly and effectively with another or others, military or civilian, to attain a designated objective)
6. Initiative (the trait of beginning needed work or taking appropriate action on his own responsibility in absence of orders)
7. Intelligence (the ability to understand readily new ideas or instructions)
8. Force (the faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which one conscientiously believes reasonable, right, or duty)
9. Judgment and common sense (the ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)
10. Leadership (capacity to direct, control, and influence others in definite lines of action or movement and still maintain high morale)

785015

Name of officer reported on PERSALL, ROBERT A. Name of reporting officer DENMORE, LEONARD D.

I. During the period covered by this report has he taken advantage of the opportunities afforded him to improve his professional knowledge?

J. Has he exhibited any weaknesses — temperamental, moral, physical, etc. — which adversely affect his efficiency? If yes, describe them (FACT or OPINION. Line out one.)

K. Proper authority having decided on the methods and procedure to accomplish a certain end, did he render willing and generous support regardless of his personal views in the matter?

L. Since last report has he been mentioned favorably or unfavorably in official communications? No
(See par. 1b, AR 600-185.)

M. During the period covered by this report was he the subject of any disciplinary measure that should be included on his record? No If yes, enclose separate statement of nature and attendant circumstances.

N. Write a brief general estimate of this officer in your own words. I consider Major Persall to be a First Class, well trained officer with a good Military and Administrative background. He has been recommended for promotion to the next grade. In comparing this officer with all officers of his grade known to me, I would place him among the upper third.

O. How well do you know him? Well

P. Remarks (including entry required by par. 11a, AR 600-185). This officer is performing duties commensurate with his grade.

Q. In case any unfavorable entries have been made by you on this report, were the deficiencies indicated hereon brought to the attention of the officer concerned while under your command and prior to the rendition of this report? No If yes, what improvement, if any, was noted?

No unfavorable entries

If no improvement was noted, what period of time elapsed between your notification to him of his deficiencies and the rendition of this report?

R. Based on your observation during the period covered by this report, give in your own words your estimate of his GENERAL VALUE TO THE SERVICE.

S. I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and impartial and are in accordance with AR 600-185.

(Signed)

(Name typed)

(Grade and Org.)

(Comdg. what?)

(Place)

(Date)

LEONARD D. DENMORE

Colonel, MA

Ex O. Rear Hq. Allied Control Commission

Salerno, Italy

30 June 1944

Incls. None

1st INDORSEMENT

Write nothing below this line.

CONFIDENTIAL

PCAR
HEADQUARTERS
 ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
 (PROVISIONAL)
 APO 394

MED/crm

30 June 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 394, U. S. Army.

1. The following Efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & manner of performances is submitted herewith:

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATE FROM	DATE TO	MANNER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASGD	ATCHED
1st LT	BENICANT, CAROL A.	01874 INB	MESS O	DET HQ INB Co 2675 Regt AC	1 JAN 44	10 MAR 44	S	EJB		X	
			Billeting O		10 MAR 44	30 June 44	E	EJB		X	
			Security O		10 MAR 44	30 June 44	E	EJB		X	

(Signature)

E. J. B. (EJB)

Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PCAR
HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
(PROVISIONAL)
APO 394

MEL/crm

30 June 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 394, U. S. Army.

1. The following Efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & Manner of Performance is submitted herewith:

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATE FROM	DATE TO	MANNER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASSED	ATTCHED
MAJ	Slaghter, Frank J.	0338203	Asst Asst Gen	DET HQ 100th 2675th Regt	JAN 44	Feb 44	E	L D D		X	
			Asst Sec Gen	DET HQ 100th 2675th Regt	Feb 44	30 JUN 44	E	L D D		X	

(Signature)

L D D

CONFIDENTIAL

Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

REAR
 HEADQUARTERS
 ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
 (PROVINCIAL)
 APO 394

MEL/crm

30 June 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 394, U. S. Army.

1. The following Efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & Manner of performances is submitted herewith;

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATE FROM	DATE TO	MANNER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASOD	ATCHE
CWO	Charles, Ernest J	W1124630	Asst Adj	DET HQ 1st Co 2675 Regt	1 JAN 44	13 JUN 44	S	L D O		X	
			Adjutant	"	13 JUN 44	20 JUN 44	S	L D O		X	

(Signature) LDD

Name

Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX

REAR
HEADQUARTERS
 ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
 (PROVISIONAL)
 APO 394

MIL/CRM

30 June 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 394, U. S. ARMY.

1. The following Efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & Manner of Performance is submitted herewith:

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATE FROM TO	MANNER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASGD	ATCHD
CWO	W. H. H. H.	W. H. H. H.	Supply	DET HQ 1st Regt 2675th Regt	17 MAR 44	10 APR 44	E	W H H	X	
			Supply	"	10 MAR 44	30 APR 44	E	W H H	X	
			Mess	DET HQ 1st Regt 2675th Regt	10 MAR 44	30 APR 44	E	W H H	X	

(Signature)

CL. of 2000 B. C. C. A. N. O.

MAJOR H. H. H. H.

Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

290

CONFIDENTIAL

REAR
HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
(PROVISIONAL)
APO 394

LSD/crm

30 JUNE 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 394, U. S. Army.

1. The following efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & manner of performances is submitted herewith:

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATE FROM TO	NUMBER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASGD	ATCHD
CAPT	Volstad, Roy L.	0464415	TRANS O	Per AG + HQ Co 2675 Regt AEC	1 Jan 44 30 Jun 44	E	ED EJB		X	

(Signature)

EJB
LEONARD B. DENSMORE
COLONEL - ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

2901

CONFIDENTIAL

APPENDIX I

HEADQUARTERS
ALLIED CONTROL COMMISSION
(PROVISIONAL)
APO 324

MEL/crm

30 June 1944

File: 201.61

SUBJECT: Efficiency Reports on Company Grade & Warrant Officers.

TO : Commanding Officer, 2675th Regiment, Allied Control Commission, (Prov), APO 324, U. S. Army.

1. The following Efficiency Report of Company Grade & Warrant Officers, this organization, showing Duties & manner of performances is submitted herewith:

GRADE	NAME	ASN	TYPE OF DUTY	UNIT OR ORG	DATES FROM TO	NUMBER OF PERFORMANCE	INITIALS (TYPED)	INITIALS (WRITTEN)	ASOD	ATCHD
CWO	CHIOCCA, EUGENE J.	W2124634	Asst Adj	Det Hq & Hq Co 2675 Regt ACC	1 Jan 44	13 Jun 44	LDD		X	
			Adjutant	Det Hq & Hq Co 2675 Regt ACC	13 Jun 44	30 Jun 44	LDD		X	

(Signature)

Name

LEONARD D. DENNIS
Col, FA - Ex O Base B-100
Rank & Title

CONFIDENTIAL

11