

10000/120/4627

AQ/40/1

DISCIPLINE O.R.s

6313
6352

MAR. 8, 1946 - AUG. 27, 1946

(43)

Subject: Discipline.CONFIDENTIAL

HQ Naples Area

Auto: 16136

A 16

27 Aug. 4612 British Liaison Unit.

14576691 Cpl. PARKER R.-777 M.A.S. att'd.

14663934 Cfn. HOPKINS S. 750 Base Wkspa REME.

1. The attached papers are forwarded for investigation by you.
2. Please return these documents together with a report in writing by Major SAMPSON and such comments as you may see fit to make thereon.

AA & CSG	
DAA & QMG	
EC "A" & "Q"	C/I
DAD-1	
DAD-8	
DADME	
1rg Increment	
Subs	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	
66	
67	
68	
69	
70	
71	
72	
73	
74	
75	
76	
77	
78	
79	
80	
81	
82	
83	
84	
85	
86	
87	
88	
89	
90	
91	
92	
93	
94	
95	
96	
97	
98	
99	
100	

Enclosures:

Copies of a complaint (2)
Statement (1).

6352

W. H. S. Major
Lt Col.
AA & QMG.

SEP 1946

File 40/1

238

SUBJECT : Discipline.

12 BRITISH LIAISON UNIT
for the
ITALIAN CENTRAL MILITARY SCHOOLS
(Tel : ROME 439081 Ext : 545)

AD/40/1/3032.

16 September 1946.

To : H.Q.
Naples Area.

14576691 Cpl. PARKER R. - 777 B.A.S. att.
14663934 Cfn. BOWKINS S. - 750 Base Wkaps R.E.M.E.

Reference your A 16 dated 27 Aug. 1946.

1. As requested herewith report by Major SAMPSON R.E.M.E.
relating to complaints made by the above mentioned O.Rs.
2. Copies of complaints and a statement are returned herewith.

BRJH/ng.


Vp Lt. Colonel,
O.S.

6351

F 40/1

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT : Discipline.

12 BRITISH LIAISON UNIT
for the
ITALIAN CENTRAL MILITARY SCHOOLS
(Tel : ROME 439081 Ext : 545)

PAR/2.

13 September 1946.

To : C.O.
12 B. L. U.

14576694 Cpl. PARKER R. - 777 H.A.S. att'd.
14663934 Cfn. HEWINS S. - 750 Base steps. R.E.M.E.

1. Reference H.C. Naples Area letter A.16 dated 27 Aug. '46, herewith report called for in para. 2.

2. The charge upon which both of the above named personnel appeared before me, were brought by the C.M.F., and referred to offences against dress regulations.

3. Cpl PARKER's attitude was impertinent and he appeared to consider that because he had not been apprehended by the C.M.F. before, in another town, where, apparently, it was his custom to walk out without a head-dress and with sleeves rolled up, he was being unfairly charged in this instance.

His attitude was such that I ordered the R.E.M. to march him out, in order to avoid trying him whilst I was feeling angry.

4. His attitude on return was much the same, and he appears to be completely blind to the fact that he is an H.C.O., and as such, should be setting an example to be followed by others.

5. The statement made by Cpl PARKER in para. 4 ^{of} and his letter is a distorted version of my words.
I warned him that in future his behaviour as an H.C.O. would

To : O.C.
12 B. L. U.

14576691 Cpl. PARKER R. - 777 N.A.S. attn.
42663934 Cfn. JONES S. - 750 Base shops. R.M.M.B.

1. Reference H.C. Naples Area Letter A.16 dated 27 Aug. '46, herewith report called for in para. 2.

2. The charge upon which both of the above named personnel appeared before me, were brought by the C.M.P., and referred to offences against dress regulations.

3. Cpl PARKER's attitude was impertinent and he appeared to consider that because he had not been apprehended by the C.M.P. before, in another town, where, apparently, it was his custom to walk out without a hand-dress and with sleeves rolled up, he was being unfairly charged in this instance.

His attitude was such that I ordered the R.S.M. to march him out, in order to avoid trying him whilst I was feeling angry.

4. His attitude on return was much the same, and he appears to be completely blind to the fact that he is an H.C.O., and as such, should be setting an example to be followed by others.

5. The statement made by Cpl PARKER in para. 4 ^{of} and his letter is a distorted version of my words.

I warned him that in future his behaviour as an H.C.O. would be observed, and that if he appeared before me again on a disciplinary charge of which he was guilty, I should certainly endeavour to bring him before the Area Commander, as it was obvious that he was lacking in the sense of responsibility expected of an H.C.O. I warned him that if such action took place, it was extremely likely that his stripes would be removed as quickly as if they were attached by a sipp-fastener.

This latter remark, whilst probably being somewhat irregular, was made to add emphasis to the warning that was being given him.

6. With regard to the statement made by Cpl. PARKER in para. 5 of his letter, attention is invited to K.R., para. 585 (b).

7. In view of this H.C.O.'s attitude, and in view of the nature of the offence, which is committed all too frequently, and also in view of the fact that, (as has been pointed out by Area, in the past) a C.M.P. charge of such a nature reflects great discredit on a Unit, it is not

- 2 -

considered that the punishment awarded was unduly severe. The allegation of "intimidation" is denied.

8. In the case of Cfn. HORTON, it would appear that he was charged at the same time as Cpl. PATNER, with committing the same offenses.

9. The statement made by him in line 8 of his letter is inaccurate, in that I did not agree with his defence, but that I accepted the excuse, with reservations; but that there could be no possible excuse for not wearing a head-dress.

10. Cfn. HORTON was asked if he would accept my award, and the statement made by him that he was not asked is untrue. This is born out by the statement made by P.S.M. ALLEN, R.A.

11. It is not considered that the punishment was unduly severe, for the same reasons expressed in para. 7 of this letter.

C.M.S./mg.

Santer 6349

Major R.S.M.E.
D.A.D.H.E.

LAGE

1160

12 871

27

023

40/1/1796 (.)

Unclassified

Ref your 022/96357/af dtd 18 Jun 46 (.)

AF A 3104 For 5336114 Re TROTT SW forwarded to 'O' Garrison Coy
247 AFM

SENT

271389 b

DATE TIME

OFF INITIALS

6348

Major R. H. J. RICHARD

Major R. H. J. RICHARD

2712000

(35)

SUBJECT: Restoration of Forfeited
Service.

12 BRITISH LIAISON UNIT
for the
ITALIAN CENTRAL MILITARY SCHOOLS
(Tel: ROME 478808)

Ref: AQ/40/1/1740

To: G-1 (R)
H.Q.
R.A.A.G.

17 June 1946

Reference Weekly Adm. Bulletin No. 11/46.

May a copy of the instruction prepared on the above subject please be
supplied to this Unit.


Colonel
Comd. British Liaison Unit for the
Italian Central Military Schools

Internal

File

AM/ep

6347

34
Summary of Evidence in the case of No. 5336114 Pte. ILOTT S.W.
Menchester Rgt. 12 B.L.U. By direction of Col. L.H. Mackay O.B.E.,
The evidence is taken on oath.

1st Witness Soldato VALORIO FEDELE 152 Increment Platoon having been duly sworn states: -

On Wed. 5th of June 1946 I went into the dining hall at midnight to go to bed. I noticed that the accused and the Corporal were in the bed room with two women. In the morning between 05.30 and 06.00 hrs I saw one of the women enter the cookhouse and then they both left carrying a bundle of timed food.

(Signed VALORIO FEDELE)

The accused declines to cross examine.

2nd Witness MAESTRO DOMINICO MICHELE Carabiniere Comando Scuola having been duly sworn states: -

I was on duty at the entrance to the barracks on Wed. 5th June 1946. At approx., 23.30 hrs I admitted two English soldiers into the barracks. They were accompanied by two women. It was too dark for me to identify them.

(Signed MAESTRO DOMINICO MICHELE)

The accused declines to cross examine.

3rd Witness 14382890 Gnr. REILLY J. RA 12 B.L.U. A/S Gp. 46 having been duly sworn states: -

At approximately midnight on Wed. 5th June 1946 I went to the cookhouse to speak to Bdr. ADAMS. I met him in the bedroom. There were two women in the bedroom in bed. The accused was also in the bedroom. After about five minutes conversation I left and went to bed.

(Signed JAMES REILLY)

The accused declines to cross examine.

4th Witness FACCHIRUSSO ANTONIO - Infantry having been duly sworn states: -

I was on duty at the gate of the barracks on Wed. 5th June 1946 with

(Signed VALORIO FEDELE)

The accused declines to cross examine.

2nd Witness MAESTRO DOMINICO MICHELE Carabinieri Comando Scuola having been duly sworn states: -

I was on duty at the entrance to the barracks on Wed. 5th June 1946. At approx., 23.30 hrs I admitted two English soldiers into the barracks. They were accompanied by two women. It was too dark for me to identify them.

(Signed MASTRODOMENICO MICHELE)

The accused declines to cross examine.

3rd Witness 14382890 Gnr. REILLY J. RA 12 B.L.U. A/S Gp. 46 having been duly sworn states: -

At approximately midnight on Wed. 5th June 1946 I went to the cookhouse to speak to Mr. ADAMS. I met him in the bedroom. There were two women in the bedroom in bed. The accused was also in the bedroom. After about five minutes conversation I left and went to bed.

(Signed JAMES REILLY)

The accused declines to cross examine.

4th Witness FACCIORUSSO ANTONIO - Infantry having been duly sworn states: -

I was on duty at the gate of the barracks on Wed. 5th June 1946 with MASTRODOMENICO at approximately midnight. Two English soldiers entered the barracks accompanied by two women. I recognised the accused as one of them.

(Signed FACCIORUSSO ANTONIO)

The accused declines to cross examine.

5th Witness LEONARDIS ANTONIO Carabinieri Comando Scuola having been duly sworn states: -

I was on duty at the gateway leading into the barracks between 04.00 hrs and 08.00 hrs 6th June 1946. At approximately 05.45 hrs I let out of the barracks two women accompanied by a Corporal. The accused was not with them.

(Signed LEONARDIS ANTONIO)

The accused declines to cross examine.

.....2/.

