

Declassified E.O. 12356 Section 3.3/NND No. 785017

ACC

10000/135/308

SUPPLY OF  
MAR., APR

10000/135/308

SUPPLY OF MUSTER, A/C, TO ITALIAN ARMY  
MAR., APR. 1946

1.

S.S.O

thru DD ~~WFD~~ 17/4 We spoke about 1A at this morning's conference. I no longer propose to take up question of supply of Austin, pending results of trial of L.N. 5s (Mr Hart + Col Ross) & whether or not L.4s are in fact being bought by I.A.R.

Please inform I.A.D. of 1A as above. Say that 1A was comodated with our aid.

16/4

WFD

5042

2.

Director (thru DD) ~~WFD~~ 17/4

I suggest that the quickest and most satisfactory way of getting the L.N.5 tested for A.I.R. work would be for G/C Fairman to fly it to Venice/Lido before he moves down from Milan. There is an A.I.R. flight at Venice whose C.O. will probably be more experienced than Col Ross, and less flying will be involved than if the L.N.5 is flown to Rome or Col Ross to Milan.

17 April 46.

H.N. Bridges WFD

3

Dir/ Mine 2 sounds like a good idea. Suggest we propose this to A.R. forward at monthly conference

~~WFD~~ 23/4~~WFD~~ 17/4

4.

D.D/ I suggest to Col Remondino that requirement for A.I.R. can be stated in I.A.D. official reply to our "Plan for the Recon. of the I.A.F."

4 (Cont).

2. As regards P.M. 2 & 3, I spoke to Col. Ross [who would be very glad to test his L115 with one of our pilots] (at V.P.'s meeting 17/4)
3. Since writing him above we decided that Mr. Hart will inform Col. Ross that his flight is off and that G/C. Jaeman would organize his test of his L115 with the AOPP at <sup>stationed</sup> Venice at either Milan or Venice as convenient.

~~OK~~ F/15  
14/4

Thur 18/4  
WED 26/4

JCHS D

D. (less D.D.). 15-

Ref. Min. 4. para. 3.

Col. Ross is up now as the moment, have informed his secretary that the trip is off & will speak with the Colonel personally on Sat. morning.

A. Hart c/p.  
F.T.I.

24/4.

- D.D. OK. WED 29/4 -6.
- Col. Ross returned to his office today 29/4, I informed him of the above details.
2. We suggested that whoever does the tests on the L.M.S.; they should contact the Executive offices of the American Artillery unit in Foreign. This unit has many experienced personnel in this type of army co-operation work, & their opinions would be of value. I will inform G/C. Jaeman of this.

A. Hart c/p.  
F.T.I.

29/4.

*RA.**FILE**664/18/EQ*

From : Air Forces Sub Commission, Rome.

To : Italian Air Ministry.

Date : 18th April, 1946.

Ref : AF/C/664/18/EQ.

AIRCRAFT FOR ARTILLERY OBSERVATION ROLE.

1. A request was recently received by this Sub Commission from M.M.I.A. asking advice as to the best method of obtaining aircraft for Artillery Observation in support of the Italian Army.

2. The Sub-Commission expressed the view that the policy of trying to buy Allied aircraft for this purpose was unsound bearing in mind problems of payment, servicing facilities, purchase of spares and time spent in negotiations.

3. It was also stated that the Italian Air Force had a number of types of light aircraft which might be adaptable to observation training and in particular the A.V.I.A. LM 5 was suggested because it is in production.

4. It is intended to obtain expert opinion on the suitability of the LM 5 for Artillery Observation operators. *544-1*

*W.M. BIDDEE, W/Cdr*

*W.M. BIDDEE, W/Cdr,  
Air Vice-Marshal,  
Director,  
Air Forces Sub Commission.*

*W.M. BIDDEE, W/Cdr*

SUBJECT: Allied aircraft for use by Italian services.

LAND FORCES SUB S/CM, AC (MIL)

G/6

5 APR 45

TO : MINISTRY OF WAR

Ref your 1882/0/9 dated 25 March 45.

1. The question of procuring Allied aircraft for use by the Italian services has been considered by this HQ in conjunction with Air Forces S/C, AC. Bearing in mind the problems of payment, adequate servicing facilities, purchasing of spares etc., and the time spent in negotiations, it is thought to be a sound policy.
2. For your information, the Italian Air Force is in possession of a number of types of light aircraft, which may be adaptable to observation training. Probably the best type is the L.M. 50, a light, single-engine monoplane which is now in production. Only two aircraft have been completed, and it is understood that Italian Air Ministry intend to purchase them. This HQ is informed by Air Forces S/C that further production of this aircraft would be easy and that you would probably receive the required number more quickly from this source than you would get AUSTER aircraft from ALTES sources.
3. It is suggested that, if the Italian Army wish to consider these aircraft, they contact the Italian Air Ministry concerning details of purchase, supply etc.
4. — AUSTERS for you should this prove to be the better course.
5. For your further information I would explain that in BRIT practice Arty OPs are actually piloted by Arty officers who both pilot the aircraft and at the same time observe or control the guns. The aircraft are however maintained entirely by Air Force personnel. Your suggestion that pilots should be Air Force WOOS accompanied by Army observers is directly opposite to this BRIT practice which has proved most successful during the recent war. You are invited to consider the respective merits of the two systems.

Q.

See P-1

A. G. T. C.

1. The question of procuring Allied aircraft for use by the Italian services has been considered by this HQ in conjunction with Air Forces S/C, AC. Bearing in mind the problems of payment, adequate servicing facilities, purchasing of spares etc., and the time spent in negotiations, it is not thought to be a sound policy.

2. For your information, the Italian Air Force is in possession of a number of types of light aircraft, which may be adaptable to observation training. Probably the best type is the L.M. 50, a light, single-engine monoplane which is now in production. Only two aircraft have been completed, and it is understood that Italian Air Ministry intend to purchase them. This HQ is informed by Air Forces S/C that further production of this aircraft would be easy and that you would probably receive the required number more quickly from this source than you would get AUSTER aircraft from Allies sources.
3. It is suggested that, if the Italian Army wish to consider these aircraft, they contact the Italian Air Ministry concerning details of purchase, supply etc.
4. Air Forces S/C are however going into the question of obtaining AUSTERS for you should this prove to be the better course.
5. For your further information I would explain that in BRIT practice Army OPs are actually piloted by Army officers who both pilot the aircraft and at the same time observe or control the guns. The aircraft are however maintained entirely by Air Force personnel. Your suggestion that pilots should be Air Force NCOs accompanied by Army observers is directly opposite to this BRIT practice which has proved most successful during the recent war. You are invited to consider the respective merits of the two systems.

*Major General  
MFA*



*See D-1*  
Copy to : AIR FORCES S/C ✓  
Internal: War Diary (Two)

ARCS/RR

0 2 1 8

Declassified E.O. 12356 Section 3.3/NND No. 785017

Enclosure 1 A extracted by S.S.O.  
17/4.

5039

0219